

# G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy)

Michela Marzano

Download now

Click here if your download doesn"t start automatically

## G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy)

Michela Marzano

### G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) Michela Marzano

This work offers an important and critical analysis of Moore's conception of good and right. Preface G.E. Moore's ethical theory is usually remembered and studied these days because of Moore's denunciation of ethical naturalism on the ground that it involves a fallacy, 'the naturalistic fallacy,' which, according to Moore, is inherent in all positions of this kind. But Michela Marzano invites us to turn away from this overfamiliar theme and attend to a different element of Moore's theory, his theory of intrinsic value. By doing so, she shows, we gain a much better perspective on Moore's ethics and its relationship to his metaphysics. We can put his criticisms of ethical naturalism into the broader context which is provided by his account of the relationship between 'intrinsic nature' and 'intrinsic value'. But the main gain is that we obtain a perspective from which we can appreciate his positive theory of value. I In ordinary thought we contrast the terms 'intrinsic' and 'instrumental'. There are things such as friendship and beauty which we value for their own sake; these are things which we judge to have 'intrinsic' value. And there are other things such as wealth and fame which we value because of the value of the things which they enable us to obtain; these are things we judge to have 'instrumental' value. These classifications are not, of course, exclusive: whereas wealth has instrumental value only, good food has both intrinsic and instrumental value; indeed anything that has intrinsic value also has instrumental value. Furthermore, although, as I have indicated, instrumental value contrasts in principle with intrinsic value, it is not an altogether new kind of value; for the value of the things which something with instrumental value enables us to obtain must be ultimately definable in terms of intrinsic value. So, as far as this contrast goes, intrinsic value is the fundamental type of value and instrumental value is definable in terms of it. But for Moore intrinsic value is not merely non-instrumental value. For he holds that as well as considering consequences we also need to consider context, in that the context in which something occurs is liable to affect its contribution to the overall value of the broader situation which provides the context. This line of thought is less familiar to us than that which underpins the concept of instrumental value but he illustrates his point by inviting us to consider the value of knowledge. Moore suggests that knowledge has little intrinsic value when we consider it just by itself; but he also suggests that the value of the appreciation of beauty (something which is, according to Moore, potentially of great value) is greatly enhanced by knowledge about the object one is appreciating (such as knowledge concerning the artist's intentions and the broader culture to which the artwork belongs). So he holds that knowledge of this kind can have 'value as a part', as something which in a particular case makes a difference to the overall value of the situation in which it occurs, which is distinct from the contribution it makes to this overall value merely in virtue of the intrinsic value which it contributes to the value of the situation. This aspect of Moore's position is of course linked to his 'principle of organic unities', his claim that the overall intrinsic value of a complex situation is not simply the overall sum of the intrinsic values of each 'part' (or aspect) of this situation. Moore's thought is that the way in which things are inter-related in a complex situation itself makes a difference to the value of the resulting situation without altering the intrinsic value of each part. So, in the case above, the contribution knowledge about the artist and artwork makes to the value of informed aesthetic appreciation greatly exceeds its limited intrinsic value. As Marzano acknowledges Moore's principle of organic unities is not uncontentious; it obstructs the straightforward consequentialist reasoning which his ethics seems at first to commend as a way of determining one's duty. But it is its implications for his conception of intrinsic value that concerns me here. For what the contrast between value as a part and intrinsic value entails is that intrinsic value is precisely value not as a part. Indeed because

something's intrinsic value is conceived by Moore to be independent of any context in which it occurs, it can be thought of as its value when it occurs in the 'null' context, i.e. altogether by itself (insofar as this makes sense). This may make it sound as though it is only relatively simple things ('simple parts') that have intrinsic value; but this is not so. For situations of any degree of complexity, such as the aesthetic appreciation of a work of art or a benevolent action undertaken to help someone in distress, will themselves have an intrinsic value which is to be taken into account in determining how one ought to act. Equally, such situations will also have some degree of instrumental value and may also have value as a part insofar as they occur within even broader contexts. So the distinction between intrinsic value, instrumental value and value as a part applies across the board. Nonetheless it remains the case that intrinsic value is, from a theoretical perspective, the fundamental type. For just as instrumental value is defined in terms of the intrinsic value of the consequences of some state of affairs, the 'value as a part' of a state of affairs is defined in terms of the difference the existence of this state makes to the intrinsic value of a complex situation of which it is a part. The combined effect of the contrasts with instrumental value and value as a part show that in assessing something's intrinsic value we have to consider it without reference to any potential consequences or any broader context in which it might occur. This is of course Moore's method of 'reflective isolation' whereby we are enjoined to form an intuitive judgment of the (intrinsic) value of a state of affairs by reflectively isolating it and trying to assess the value of a world wholly comprised of states of this kind. This may well not strike us, on further reflection, as a sensible approach to ethical judgment; it is not clear, for example, how one is to apply it to judgments concerning the value of knowledge. The obvious alternative would appear to be to consider what difference the presence or absence of a state of the relevant kind makes to the intrinsic value of a situation that remains the same in other respects - thus, for example, what difference the acquisition of knowledge makes to the intrinsic value of one's general situation. But Moore's principle of organic unities makes this approach problematic: for the principle implies that there is no reliable inference from the difference in value which the presence or absence of knowledge makes to its intrinsic value. To suppose otherwise is precisely to conflate its value as a part with its intrinsic value. This point suggests that although the principle of organic unities captures an important insight concerning the context-dependence of value, it may be that there is a better way of capturing this insight, and I shall briefly return to this below. But, staying within Moore's approach for the moment, we can see, I think, why Moore holds that judgments of intrinsic value are 'universal' (i.e. necessary) judgments concerning the value of things of some general kind (e.g. pains, knowledge etc.). For once one has stripped away the consequences of a state of affairs and the broader context in which it occurs, one is simply left with the fact that it is a state of affairs of some kind (e.g. a pain), and the value such a state possesses must apply equally to all states of the same kind; for there is nothing left to provide a basis for different evaluations of them. This last line of thought has, however, an important presupposition - that something's value is dependent upon the kind of thing it is. Without this presupposition, it could not be ruled out that, say, exactly similar pains should have different degrees of value even though there would be no basis for this difference in value; indeed there would be no reason for demanding that there be such a basis. The fact that this conclusion strikes us as irrational shows our attachment to the presupposition in question, which Moore expressed as the thesis that something's intrinsic value depends only upon its 'intrinsic nature'. As Marzano shows, Moore's conception of 'intrinsic nature' is not straightforward: it will be clear from the preceding discussion why it needs to exclude consequences and context, and thus all extrinsic factors, since these are relevant to instrumental value and to value as a part but not to intrinsic value. Marzano further suggests that intrinsic nature approximates to the traditional conception of essence, though since Moore says that size (which is not an essential property) is an intrinsic property it appears to me that intrinsic nature embraces more than essence. But the details here are not, I think, important; what matters more are the connections, or lack of them, between Moore's conception of intrinsic value and his critique of ethical naturalism. Before discussing this further, however, I want to return briefly to the question that came up earlier as to whether Moore's principle of organic unities, and the associated conception of 'value as a part', provides the right way to handle the phenomenon of the contextdependence of value. A good case to focus on here is that of friendship. As Moore rightly says, friendship is

one of the most valuable aspects of human life. And yet there are contexts in which one has to set aside the claims of friendship - for example in courts of law or when making an appointment to a post. For Moore this phenomenon is to be handled by supposing that in these contexts, friendship has a negative value as a part which overrides its great positive intrinsic value. But that seems the wrong way to think about the matter: rather, one wants to say, in a legal context the claims of friendship have no place at all; they are simply not a legitimate c...



**Download** G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Pro ...pdf



Read Online G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (P ...pdf

### Download and Read Free Online G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) Michela Marzano

#### From reader reviews:

#### James Dorman:

Book is written, printed, or illustrated for everything. You can learn everything you want by a e-book. Book has a different type. To be sure that book is important factor to bring us around the world. Beside that you can your reading skill was fluently. A guide G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) will make you to possibly be smarter. You can feel a lot more confidence if you can know about almost everything. But some of you think which open or reading the book make you bored. It is not necessarily make you fun. Why they could be thought like that? Have you searching for best book or ideal book with you?

#### **Deloris Wagner:**

Often the book G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) has a lot details on it. So when you check out this book you can get a lot of advantage. The book was compiled by the very famous author. The writer makes some research prior to write this book. That book very easy to read you can get the point easily after reading this book.

#### **Phillip Permenter:**

Don't be worry should you be afraid that this book may filled the space in your house, you may have it in e-book method, more simple and reachable. This G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) can give you a lot of good friends because by you taking a look at this one book you have matter that they don't and make anyone more like an interesting person. This specific book can be one of a step for you to get success. This guide offer you information that might be your friend doesn't learn, by knowing more than various other make you to be great people. So, why hesitate? Let's have G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy).

#### **Jody Watson:**

You can get this G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by go to the bookstore or Mall. Only viewing or reviewing it can to be your solve difficulty if you get difficulties for the knowledge. Kinds of this reserve are various. Not only through written or printed but additionally can you enjoy this book through e-book. In the modern era such as now, you just looking by your mobile phone and searching what their problem. Right now, choose your own personal ways to get more information about your e-book. It is most important to arrange yourself to make your knowledge are still change. Let's try to choose proper ways for you.

Download and Read Online G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) Michela Marzano #04ZWUGEMTY2

## Read G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano for online ebook

G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano Free PDF d0wnl0ad, audio books, books to read, good books to read, cheap books, good books, online books, books online, book reviews epub, read books online, books to read online, online library, greatbooks to read, PDF best books to read, top books to read G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano books to read online.

## Online G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano ebook PDF download

- G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano Doc
- G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano Mobipocket
- G. E. Moore's Ethics: Good as Intrinsic Value (Problems in Contemporary Philosophy) by Michela Marzano EPub